



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/586,524

10/23/2006

Derek I. Darley

22409-00247-US

4660

30678

7590

04/08/2008

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP
1875 EYE STREET, N.W.
SUITE 1100
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

BOCKELMAN, MARK

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3766

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/08/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/586,524	Applicant(s) DARLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner Mark W. Bockelman	Art Unit 3766	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-18 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 10-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 1-11-2008.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In paragraphs [40] and [46], reference number 328 has been used to describe both “power supply terminals” and “platform”;

In paragraphs [53] and [56], reference number 214 has been used to describe both “screw” and “orifice”; and

In paragraph [83], reference number 206 has been used to describe both “cover member” and “base member”.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 7-9, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 recites the limitation “said cover member” and “said base member”. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation “said cover member” and “said base member”. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

In claim 9, it is unclear which element - the sheath, the gasket or the perimeter - overlies the speech processor.

Claim 19 is indefinite in light of the specification because the grommet is on the cable and not the case member in the specification contrary to the claim language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by USPN 6,748,093 to Topholm.

Topholm teaches an electronic module 74 which includes a signal processor 9, a connector 81, a housing and processing circuitry that receives signals output by a microphone; and a protective case 1, 2 configured to interface with the connector 81, wherein the electronic module 74 is removably mountable within the case and is operable while mounted therein. (See Figs. 18, 23; col. 13, Ins. 27-38, 59-61; col. 14, Ins. 18-28).

The protective case includes a base member 1 and a cover member 2 which is matable to the base member to form a substantially fluid and dust-entry resistant

enclosure (Fig. 18). The microphone 3 is mounted in the socket 81 which is part of the electronics module 74, and thus can be interpreted as being either an internal microphone if the entire electronic module 74 is considered to be the speech processor, or an external microphone if the signal processor 9 alone is considered to be the speech processor (col. 15, lns. 49-53, 59-61). The electronic module 74 is configured to be operably connected to a power supply 93 (col. 15, lns. 27-34).

Claims 1, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Berger USPN 4,347,956. Berger shows a speech processor 60 with microphone 66 and a cable connector 72, a case 24 with an orifice through which the cable extends through an orifice which is capable of being sealed with a grommet as would any orifice. To the extent applicant's specification supports a "grommet sealed orifice in the case" so does Berger. The speech processor is removable from, as well as operable in, the case.

Claims 1-5, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by USPN 7,113,611 to Leedom et al.

In a first embodiment, Leedom teaches a speech processor module 36 housed together with an internal microphone 30, and removably mountable in a protective case 15 in which the speech processor module 36 is operably connected to a power supply 22. The speech processor module 36 and the protective case 15 may be mechanically or electrically (e.g., via battery 22) connected via respective connecting elements. (See Figs. 3A-3B, col. 9, ln. 65 through col. 10, ln. 30).

In a second embodiment, shown in Figs. 11-12, Leedom teaches a speech processor module 46 with a housing 59 and signal processing circuitry 28, a protective case comprising a base member 12 and cover member 36, a microphone 30 formed as part of the cover member 36 and thus external to the speech processor module 46, and a power supply 22. Each of the speech processor module 46 and the case 12, 36 have mutually connectable elements such that speech processor module 46 is removably mountable and operable within the case 12, 36. (See col. 13, Ins. 39-42; col. 14, Ins. 25-34). When mounted in the case 12, 36, the speech processor module 46 is operably connected to the power supply 22. When mated together, the base and cover members 12, 36 are substantially resistant to fluid and dust ingress.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 7,113,611 to Leedom et al.

Leedom teaches each feature of the claimed invention except that it does not specifically disclose electrical pin connectors on the speech processing module in connection with the embodiments discussed above. The embodiment shown in Fig. 5, however, discloses a speech processing module 36 which includes signal processing

circuitry 28, a microphone 30, and electrical pin connectors 40, which are connected into the integrated case unit 12 via receptacles 42. (See col. 11, Ins. 35-41). As Leedom does not disclose specific types of connection elements in connection with the embodiments discussed above in the 102 rejection, it would have been obvious to incorporate the electrical pin connector arrangement of Fig. 5 into the embodiments of Figs. 3A, 3B, 11 and 12 to provide the same means of effective connection between the speech processing module 46 and the case 12, 36 as provided in the embodiment of Fig. 5.

Claim 7, 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 7,113,611 to Leedom et al. in view of USPN 7,123,733 to Borowsky et al.

Leedom teaches each feature of the claimed invention except for the limitation that the case prevents all fluid ingress when the cover is closed relative to the base member. Borowsky teaches providing a watertight film around the microphone opening (col. 4, Ins. 36-39). It would have been obvious to provide the watertight film of Borowsky over the opening of microphone 30 in the embodiments of Figs. 11 and 12 in Leedom, to prevent the penetration of moisture into the case and thereby extending the life of the device. To have included a sheath (item 10 of Borowsky) for a liner with an opening serving as a gasket in the Leedom et al device would have been obvious to seal the components when in use.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Layno can be reached on (571) 272 -4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3766

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark W Bockelman/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3766
March 30, 2008